IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : Case No. 3:17CR208

Plaintiff, : Judge Thomas M. Rose

v. :

DEMARIO D. GRIFFITH, :

Defendant. :

FINAL ORDER OF FORFEITURE

Upon the United States' Motion for Final Order of Forfeiture and the Court's review of the record, the Court HEREBY FINDS THAT:

On August 1, 2018, the Court entered a Preliminary Order of Forfeiture, finding that all right, title, and interest in the following property (the "subject property") had been forfeited to the United States pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 924(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c):

- a. Glock 45, Model 21, 45 caliber pistol, SN: NHN810;
- b. Laser site attached to Glock 45 pistol;
- c. Springfield Armory Model XD-9 sub-compact 9mm pistol, SN: US807638;
- d. 18 rounds of 9mm ammunition from Springfield Armory pistol;
- e. Mossberg Int. Model 715T 22 caliber Rifle, SN: EM13901831;
- f. Sporter 7.62x39 rifle, SN: S035276;
- g. 4 rounds of 7.62x39 ammunition from Sporter 7.62x39 rifle; and
- h. Saiga 7.62x39 rifle, SN: H03101332.

The Court also found that the defendant had an interest in the subject property and directed the United States to seize the subject property and to give notice of its intent to forfeit the property.

The United States gave electronic notice through the CM/ECF notification system of the Motion for Preliminary Order of Forfeiture to counsel for the defendant, and the defendant did not object to the forfeiture.

On August 27, 2018, the Court held the defendant's sentencing hearing and announced the

forfeiture of the subject property. The Judgment establishes that the defendant shall forfeit the

subject property to the United States.

The United States published notice of this forfeiture action and of its intent to dispose of

the subject property in accordance with the law on an official internet government forfeiture site

(www.forfeiture.gov) for at least 30 consecutive days, beginning on August 2, 2018.

The United States did not send direct written notice of the Preliminary Order of Forfeiture

because no person or entity reasonably appeared to be a potential claimant with standing to contest

the forfeiture of the subject property in the ancillary proceeding.

No person or entity has filed a timely petition with the Court asserting any interest in the

subject property or objecting to its proposed forfeiture.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. All right, title, and interest in the subject property is condemned and forfeited to the

United States under 18 U.S.C. § 924(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), and no right, title, or interest

shall remain in any other person or entity.

2. The United States shall dispose of the subject property in accordance with the law.

3. The United States District Court shall retain jurisdiction in the case for the purpose

of enforcing this Final Order of Forfeiture.

SO ORDERED:

Dated: July 29, 2019

*s/Thomas M. Rose

THOMAS M. ROSE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2